

CFR 100
3

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR,
CHHATTISGARH

WRIT PETITION No. 3419 OF 2003

PETITIONER

Balbir Sharma, aged about 41 years,
S/o Jai Narayan Sharma, working as
youth Co-ordinator, Nehru Yuva
Kendra, Kanker (Chhattisgarh)

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. Union of India through the
Secretary, Department of Youth
Affairs, Ministry of Youth Affairs
and Sports, New Delhi
2. Nehru Yuva Kendra Sangathan,
East Plaza, Indira Gandhi Indoor
Stadium, New Delhi
3. Sri D.K.Sahu, Youth Co-ordinator,
Nehru Yuva Kendra, Dharamshala
(Himachal Pradesh)
4. Sri Shashank Gubrele, Youth,
Co-ordinator, Nehru Yuva Kendra,
Balaghat (Madhya Pradesh)
5. Regional Co-ordinator, Raipur
Region, Nehru Yuva Kendra,
District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
6. The Collector, Kanker, District
Kanker (Chhattisgarh)
7. Zonal Director, Nehru Yuva
Kendra Sangathan, Bhopal
(Madhya Pradesh)

P. R. No. 3243/03
Presented by Shri V.R. Tiwari
dated 15.10.03

15/10/03
Clerk to A. G. Bilaspur



WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<u>02.01.2004</u> Shri Shivendu Pandya, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Vinay Harit, Senior Central Government Standing Counsel for respondent No.1. Shri Akhil Agarwal, Panel Lawyer for respondent No.6. Heard. By this writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner seeks to challenge the impugned order dated 01.10.2003 by which he has been transferred from Kanker to Sirohi (Rajasthan). The case of the petitioner is that he is working as Youth Coordinator in the Nehru Yuva Kendra under the Ministry of Youth Affairs and Sports of Government of India. The petitioner was appointed in the year 1989 and he started working in tribal area of Jashpur. In the year 1992, the petitioner was transferred to Jashpur Nagar, where he remained till 1998. In the year 1998, the petitioner was transferred to Rewa and later on, he was transferred to Ambikapur and from there he was again transferred to Raigarh. Vide order dated 16.05.2002, the petitioner was asked to join at Kanker. The petitioner is still holding the charge. However, one Mr. Sahu was transferred to Kanker, but he has not joined and the petitioner is still working there. The Collector, Kanker has also recommended cancellation of the transfer order of the petitioner because Kanker is a tribal district where a regular and full time Youth Coordinator is necessary. The grievance of the petitioner is that he has been transferred to Sirohi (Rajasthan) in an illegal and arbitrary manner because the said place is at a distance of more than 1,000 Kms.	

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक

U.P. 349/

सन् 2003

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश - 2 -	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.1 to which learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents 1 & 6 have no objection. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances within ten days from today to respondent No.1 and in turn, respondent No.1 shall decide the representation within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the same in an objective manner with a reasoned order considering all the grievances of the petitioner. With the above observations and directions the petition stands disposed of. In view of this order, M.(W.)P.No.3115/2003 and I.A.No.9906/2003 also stand disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.	

Sd/-
L.C.BHADOO
Judge

Soma